

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/816,601	UENO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Louis Falasco	1773	

**All Participants:**

(1) Louis Falasco.

(2) John A Berezna.

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 24 May 2005

**Time:** 4:30 EST

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 103

Claims discussed:

claims are 2 to 9, 11 and 21 to 25

Prior art documents discussed:

Fukuzawa et al (US 6338899)


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

A courtesy call was made to let applicants' representative to let him know that a new rejection would be made using Fukuzawa et al (US 6338899) directed to those claims not reciting the dimensions disclosed to make the TaO electron reflective.

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)